

<https://www.newagebd.net/article/129804/the-great-zero-carbon-criminal-conspiracy>

The great zero carbon criminal conspiracy

[F William Engdahl](#) | Published: 00:00, Feb 11,2021

THE globalist Davos World Economic Forum is proclaiming the necessity of reaching a worldwide goal of 'net zero carbon' by 2050. This for most sounds far in the future and hence largely ignored. Yet the transformations underway from Germany to the United States, to countless other economies, are setting the stage for the creation of what in the 1970s was called the New International Economic Order. In reality, it is a blueprint for a global technocratic totalitarian corporativism, one that promises huge unemployment, deindustrialisation and economic collapse by design. Consider some background. Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum is currently promoting his favourite theme, the 'great reset' of the world economy. The key to it all is understanding what the globalists mean by 'net zero carbon by 2050'. The European Union is leading the race, with a bold plan to become the world's first 'carbon neutral' continent by 2050 and reduce its CO2 emissions by at least 55 per cent by 2030.



In an August 2020 post on his blog, self-appointed global vaccine tsar Bill Gates wrote about the coming crisis in climate: 'As awful as this pandemic is, climate change could be worse.... The relatively small decline in emissions this year makes one thing clear: we cannot get to zero emissions simply — or even mostly — by flying and driving less.'

With a virtual monopoly on mainstream media as well as social media, the global warming lobby has been able to lead much of the world into assuming that the best thing for mankind is to eliminate hydrocarbons including petroleum, natural gas, coal and even the 'carbon free' nuclear electricity by 2050, that we hopefully might avoid a 1.5–2 degree Centigrade rise in average world temperature. There is only one problem with this. It's cover for a criminal ulterior agenda.

Origins of 'global warming'

MANY have forgotten the original scientific thesis put forward to justify a radical shift in our energy sources. It was not 'climate change.' Earth climate is constantly changing, correlated to changes in the emission of solar flares or sunspot cycles affecting earth climate. Around the turn of the millennium as the previous solar-led warming cycle was no longer evident, Al Gore and others shifted the narrative in a linguistic sleight-of-hand to 'climate change' from 'global warming. Now the fear narrative has gotten so absurd that every freak weather event is treated as 'climate crisis.' Every hurricane or winter storm is claimed as proof that the climate gods are punishing us sinful CO2 emitting humans.

But wait. The entire reason for the transition to alternative energy sources such as solar or wind, and abandoning carbon energy sources, is their claim that CO2 is a greenhouse gas that somehow goes up to the atmosphere where it forms a blanket that supposedly warms the Earth below — global warming. Greenhouse gas emissions according to the US Environmental Protection Agency come mostly from CO2. Hence the focus on 'carbon footprints.'

What is almost never said is that CO2 cannot soar up into the atmosphere from car exhaust or coal plants or other manmade origins. Carbon dioxide is not carbon or soot. It is an invisible, odourless gas essential to plant photosynthesis and all life forms on earth, including us. CO2 has a molecular weight of just over 44 while air (mainly oxygen and nitrogen) has a molecular weight of only 29. The specific gravity of CO2 is some 1.5 times greater than air. That would suggest that CO2 exhaust gases from vehicles or power plants do not rise into the atmosphere some 12 miles or more above earth to form the feared greenhouse effect.

Maurice Strong

TO APPRECIATE what criminal action is unfolding today around Gates, Schwab and advocates of an alleged 'sustainable' world economy, we must go back to 1968 when David Rockefeller and friends created a movement around the idea that human consumption and population growth were the major world problem. Rockefeller, whose wealth was based on oil, created the neo-Malthusian Club of Rome at the Rockefeller villa in Bellagio, Italy. Their first project was to fund a junk study at MIT called 'Limits to Growth' in 1972.

A key organiser of Rockefeller's 'zero growth' agenda in the early 1970s was his longtime friend, a Canadian oilman named Maurice Strong, also a Club of Rome member. In 1971, Strong was named undersecretary of the United Nations and secretary general of the June 1972 Stockholm Earth Day conference. He was also a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation.

Maurice Strong was a key early propagator of the scientifically unfounded theory that man-made emissions from transportation vehicles, coal plants and agriculture caused a dramatic and accelerating global temperature rise which threatens civilisation, so-called global warming. He invented the elastic term 'sustainable development.'

As chairman of the 1972 Earth Day UN Stockholm Conference, Strong promoted population reduction and lowering of living standards around the world to 'save the environment.' Some years later the same Strong stated: 'Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialised civilisations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?'

This is the agenda today known as the great reset or UN Agenda 2030. Strong went on to create the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, a political body which advances the unproven claim that manmade CO2 emissions were about to tip our world into irreversible ecological catastrophe.

Co-founder of the Club of Rome, Dr Alexander King, admitted the essential fraud of their environmental agenda some years later in his book, *The First Global Revolution*. He stated:

'In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill.... All these dangers are caused by

human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behaviour that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself.'

King admitted that the 'threat of global warming' was merely a ploy to justify an attack on 'humanity itself.' This is now being rolled out as the great reset and the net zero carbon ruse.

Alternative energy disaster

IN 2011, acting on the advice of Joachim Schnellhuber of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Angela Merkel and the German government imposed a total ban on nuclear electricity by 2022, as part of a 2001 government strategy called the Energiewende or Energy Turn, to rely on solar and wind and other 'renewables.' The aim was to make Germany the first industrial nation to be 'carbon neutral.'

The strategy has been an economic catastrophe. Going from having one of the industrial world's most stable low-cost and reliable electric generation grids, today Germany has become the world's most expensive electric generator. According to the German energy industry association BDEW, at the latest by 2023 when the last nuclear plant closes, Germany will face electricity shortfalls. At the same time coal, the largest source of electric power, is being phased out to reach net zero carbon. Traditional energy-intensive industries such as steel, glass production, basic chemicals, paper and cement manufacturing, are facing soaring costs and shutdowns or offshoring and loss of millions of skilled jobs. The energy inefficient wind and solar, today costs some seven to nine times more than gas.

Germany has little sun compared with tropical countries, so wind is seen as the major source for green power. There is a huge input of concrete and aluminium needed to produce solar or wind farms. That needs cheap energy — gas or coal or nuclear — to produce. As that is phased out, cost becomes prohibitive, even with no added 'carbon taxes.'

Germany already has some 30,000 wind turbines, more than anywhere else in the EU. The gigantic wind turbines have serious problems of noise or infrasound health hazards for residents nearby the huge structures and weather and bird damage. By 2025 an estimated 25 per cent of existing German windmills will need replacement and waste disposal is a colossal problem. The companies are being sued as the citizens realise what a disaster they are. To reach targets by 2030 Deutsche Bank recently admitted the state will need to create an 'eco dictatorship.'

At the same time the German push to end gasoline or diesel transport by 2035 in favour of e-vehicles is on course to destroy Germany's largest and most profitable industry, the auto sector, and take down millions of jobs. The lithium-ion battery-powered vehicles have a total 'carbon footprint' when the effects of mining lithium and producing all parts are included, that is worse than diesel autos. And the amount of added electricity needed for a zero carbon Germany by 2050 would be far more than today,

as millions of battery chargers will need grid electricity with reliable power. Now Germany and the EU begin to impose new 'carbon taxes,' allegedly to finance the transition to zero carbon. The taxes will only make electric power and energy even more expensive, insuring the faster collapse of German industry.

Depopulation

ACCORDING to those advancing the zero carbon agenda, it is just what they desire: the deindustrialisation of the most advanced economies, a calculated decades-long strategy as Maurice Strong said, to bring about the collapse of industrialised civilisations.

To turn the present world industrial economy backward to a wood-burning, windmill turning dystopia where blackouts become the norm as now in California, is an essential part of a great reset transformation under the Agenda 2030: UN Global Compact for Sustainability.

Merkel climate adviser, Joachim Schnellhuber, an atheist, in 2015 presented the radical green agenda of Pope Francis, the encyclical letter, *Laudato Si*, as Francis' appointee to the Pontifical Academy of Science. And he advised the EU on its green agenda. In a 2015 interview, Schnellhuber declared that 'science' has now determined that the maximum carrying capacity of a 'sustainable' human population was some six billion fewer people: 'In a very cynical way, it's a triumph for science because at last we have stabilised something — namely the estimates for the carrying capacity of the planet, namely below one billion people.'

To do that the industrialised world must be dismantled. Christiana Figueres, a World Economic Forum agenda contributor and former executive secretary of the UN's Framework Convention on Climate Change, revealed the true aim of the UN climate agenda in a February 2015 Brussels press conference where she stated, 'This is the first time in human history that we are setting ourselves the task of intentionally changing the economic development model that has reigned since the Industrial Revolution.'

Figueres's 2015 remarks are echoed today by French president Macron at the January 2021 World Economic Forum's 'Davos Agenda' where he claimed that 'under the current circumstances, the capitalist model and open economy are no longer feasible.' Macron, a former Rothschild banker, claimed that the 'only way to get out of this epidemic is to create an economy that is more focused on eliminating the gap between the rich and the poor.' Merkel, Macron, Gates, Schwab and friends will do so by bringing living standards in Germany and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development down to levels of Ethiopia or Sudan. This is their zero carbon dystopia. Severely limit air travel, car travel, people movement, closing 'polluting' industry, all to reduce CO2 emissions. Uncanny how conveniently the novel coronavirus pandemic sets the stage for the great reset and the UN Agenda 2030 for net zero carbon.

